(b) whether it is also a fact that they announced a dividend without having a net-profit; and

(c) if so, whether Government have inquired into the matter and the outcome thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) Certain complaints alleging *inter alia* that for the financial years 1982-83 and 1983-84 M/s. Bombay Dyeing & Manufacturing Company Ltd. declared dividend out of the profits made by it on sale of its fixed assets and not out of any profits from manufacturing activities and that the accounts of the company for the year ending 30th September, 1985 do not depict the 'true and fair view' of the affairs of the company have been received by the Government, which are being enquired into.

Manufacture of spurious electric bulbs

1762. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the marketing of spurious electric bulbs manufactured by unregistered and unlicensed units in Delhi and other parts of the country;

(b) whether Government have made any attempt to identify these unlicensed units; and

(c) if so, what are the details thereof, stating the measures taken or proposed to be taken by Government in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) o (c) The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.

Import of Rubber machinery

1763. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that imports of large scale rubber machinery is being allowed by Government in violation of the policy and despite the underutilised domestic rubber machinery industry;

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor, stating the value of rubber machinery items permitted to be imported during the last six months as compared to the imports allowed during the previous six months; and

(c) what is its likely impact on the capital goods industry as a result thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) No. Sir. Import of rubber machinery is being allowed only in respect of machinery which are not being indigenously manufactured. A detailed scurtiny is made before the import is permitted.

The value of rubber machinery cleared for import by the C. G. (Main) Committee, Secretariat for Industrial Approvals, during January-June. 1986 was approximately Rs. 8.44 crores as against approximately Rs. 25 crores during the period July—December, 1985.

Recommendation of Indian Engineering Industry on capital goods import

1764. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Indian Engineering Industry has made certain recommendations to Government with regard to the capital goods imports;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what is the reaction of Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) Association of Indian Engineering Industry has made following suggestions which have been noted by the Government.

1. The following recommendations of the Abid Hussain Committee should be implemented:—

(a) Nil or negligible imoprt duty in case of capital goods where there is no domestic production. (A list shou'd be formulated jointly by Government and Industry).

(b) For a range of capital goods where domestic production has been in existence for a decade or two, de-escalate protection in a phased manner (Taking into account input cost diffierentials).

(c) Import licence procedure for residual category of capital goods to be continued after rationalisation of tariff structure.

2. Ban on imports should be imposed after strict screening of indigenous products.

3. Imoprt of caiptal goods against REP licences and similar other facilities should be strictly screened from the angle of indigenous availablity.

4. Import of second-hand machinery should not be allowed. This is contrary to technological upgradation.

5. The policy should provide some special protection to Indian capital goods industry at times when foreign suppliers dump their products in Indian markets.

6. List of capital goods under OGL should be circulated before implementation.

Setting up of a unit for cine colour film and polyester X-Ray film

1765. SHR V. GOPALSAMY:
SHRI K GOPALAN:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to answer to Starred Question 225 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th December, 1985 and state:

(a) whether Government have since taken any final decision on the setting up of a unit for integrated production of cine colour positive film and colour paper and also polyester X-Ray film; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (SHRI K. K. TEWARI): (a) and (b) Government have issued sanction for the setting up a unit, for manufacture of polyester based x-ray, industrial x-ray and graphic arts film by M|s. Hindustan Photo Films Manufacturing Company Limited Ootacamund at a total cost of Rs. 168. 12 crores. No decision has been taken for setting up a unit for manufacture of cine colour positive film and colour paper.

Production of Petroleum products

1766. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total quantum of petroleum products likely to be produced against the requirement in the country during the cureent financial year; and

(b) the time by when the country is likely to attain self-sufficiency in the production of petroleum products?